

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/674,311	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OLOPADE, OLUFUNMILAYO I.
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeanine A Goldberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** After Allowance(1) Jeanine A Goldberg. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.(2) Monica De La Paz. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.**Date of Interview:** 9 September 2003**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called to discuss the 312 amendment submitted. The examiner indicated that the newly submitted Figure 19B appears to be different. The examiner indicated that faxing a corrected Figure 19B would be entered into the case.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)